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## Poly[[tetraaquatri- $\mu$-oxolato-dithulium] dihydrate]

The structure of the oxalate-bridged title complex, $\left[\mathrm{Tm}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, consists of layers built from $\mathrm{Tm}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3}$ units. The coordination polyhedron of Tm can be described as a distorted dicapped trigonal prism. Each oxalate is located on an inversion centre.

## Comment

The structures and properties of lanthanide oxalates attract attention for their ability to act as precursors of lanthanide oxides. A few single crystals of lanthanide oxalates, such as $\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Sc}$ or Yb$),\left[\mathrm{Ln}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right] \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{La}, \mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{Pr}$ or Nd$)$ and $\left[\mathrm{Nd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]$ have been obtained either in silica gel (Ollendorff \& Weigel, 1969), by hydrothermal reaction (Michaelides et al., 1988) or by other methods (Hansson, 1970, 1972, 1973a,b; Ünaleroglu et al., 1997; Tröllet et al., 1998; Trombe, 2003). Crystals of $\left[\operatorname{Ln}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right] \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Ln}=$ Er or Tm ) were prepared by saturating a boiling solution of oxalic acid in $3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ with the lanthanide oxide and then slowly cooling to 273 K (Steinfink \& Brunton, 1970). However, as an X-ray structural analysis of a thulium oxalate complex has not been reported to date, we carried out the structural analysis of the title complex, (I), and present the results here.

(I)

A view of the structure of (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The midpoint of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond for each oxalate is located on an inversion centre. Each oxalate ligand chelates two Tm atoms to form stable five-membered rings with a $\mathrm{Tm} \cdots \mathrm{Tm}$ separation of 6.107 (4) $\AA$. Each oxalate thus bridges two Tm atoms. Two of the oxalate ligands build up an infinite chain, whereas the third oxalate ligand links these chains to form a twodimensional network parallel to the $a b$ plane. A threedimensional network is constructed by hydrogen bonds between water molecules (Table 1 and Fig. 2).
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Figure 1
A drawing of the title complex, with $35 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids, showing the atomic numbering scheme. [Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$; (ii) $-x+1,-y+1,-z$; (iii) $-x,-y,-z+1$.]


Figure 2
A packing view of (I), down the $b$ axis, showing the three-dimensional hydrogen-bonding network (dashed lines).

Besides the six O atoms from the three oxalates, the Tm atom is also connected to two O atoms belonging to two water molecules. Thus, the Tm atom has an eight-coordinate environment forming a $4,4^{\prime}$-bicapped trigonal prism in which the sets of atoms O3, O5 and O4 ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$, and $\mathrm{O} 8, \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{iii}}$ and $\mathrm{O} 6^{\mathrm{ii}}$ [symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$; (ii) $-x+1$, $-y+1,-z$; (iii) $-x,-y,-z+1]$ form two triangles, with the capping atoms being O1 and O7, respectively (Fig. 3). The $\mathrm{Tm}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distances range from 2.316 (3) to 2.623 (3) $\AA$ (mean distance $2.435 \AA$ ). Comparison of these bond lengths with those of related lanthanide complexes (Michaelides et al., 1988; Ollendorff et al., 1969), shows that the mean $\mathrm{Ln}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distance decreases when the atomic number increases (see Table 2). This phenomenon agrees with the theory of lanthanide contraction.

## Experimental

The title complex was prepared by a simple approach. Aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}\left(6 \mathrm{ml}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{l}^{-1}\right)$ was added to an aqueous solution of oxalic acid $(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzoic acid $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ to adjust the pH value of the mixture to approximately 5.5 , and then an aqueous solution $(3 \mathrm{ml})$ of $\operatorname{Tm}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.84 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The resulting mixture was placed in a Parr Teflon-lined autoclave. The autoclave was then sealed and heated at 413 K for one week. Colourless crystals of (I) suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained. Elemental analysis, calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{18} \mathrm{Tm}_{2}$ : C 10.15, H $1.70 \%$; found: C 10.34, H $1.72 \%$. All chemicals used in this experiment were purchased commercially and used without further purification.

## Crystal data

| $\left[\mathrm{Tm}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $D_{x}=3.085 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $M_{r}=710.02$ | Mo K radiation |
| Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$ | Cell parameters from 926 |
| $a=6.289(3) \AA$ | reflections |
| $b=6.660(3) \AA$ | $\theta=3.2-25.1^{\circ}$ |
| $c=9.628(4) \AA$ | $\mu=11.63 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\alpha=75.043(6)^{\circ}$ | $T=295(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| $\beta=80.778(6)^{\circ}$ | Block, colourless |
| $\gamma=81.575(6)^{\circ}$ | $0.18 \times 0.16 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $V=382.2(3) \AA^{\circ}$ |  |
| $Z=1$ |  |
|  |  |
| Data collection |  |
| Bruker SMART CCD area-detector | 1345 independent reflections |
| $\quad$ diffractometer | 1284 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ |
| $\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans | $R_{\text {int }}=0.019$ |
| Absorption correction: multi-scan | $\theta_{\text {max }}=25.1^{\circ}$ |
| $\quad(S A D A B S ;$ Bruker, 1997$)$ | $h=-7 \rightarrow 5$ |
| $T_{\text {min }}=0.153, T_{\max }=0.248$ | $k=-8 \rightarrow 7$ |
| 2179 measured reflections | $l=-12 \rightarrow 7$ |
|  |  |

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.019$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.046$
$S=1.08$
1345 reflections
118 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0212 P)^{2}\right. \\
& +0.1731 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001 \text { 。 } \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=1.06 \mathrm{e}_{\AA^{-3}} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.97 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 7-\mathrm{H} 7 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 0.85 | 2.07 | 2.906 (5) | 166 |
| $\mathrm{O} 7-\mathrm{H} 7 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 3^{\text {i }}$ | 0.85 | 2.57 | 3.059 (5) | 118 |
| $\mathrm{O} 7-\mathrm{H} 7 \mathrm{~B} \cdots \mathrm{O} 9$ | 0.85 | 1.88 | 2.724 (6) | 177 |
| $\mathrm{O} 8-\mathrm{H} 8 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.85 | 2.17 | 2.963 (5) | 155 |
| O8-H8B $\cdots \mathrm{O} 5^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.85 | 2.05 | 2.888 (5) | 167 |
| $\mathrm{O} 9-\mathrm{H} 9 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 2{ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.85 | 2.57 | 3.184 (6) | 130 |
| O9-H9B $\cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {v }}$ | 0.85 | 2.60 | 3.347 (7) | 148 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1,-y,-z+1$; (ii) $-x,-y+1,-z+1$; (iii) $x-1, y, z$; (iv)
$x+1, y, z-1$; (v) $-x+1,-y+1,-z$.

Table 2
$\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distances $(\AA)$ for some lanathanide oxalates.

| Complex | Minimum Ln-O | Maximum $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}$ | Mean $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left[\mathrm{La}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{a}$ | 2.505 | 2.606 | 2.548 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Nd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{a}$ | 2.46 | 2.57 | 2.50 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Tm}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{b}$ | 2.316 | 2.623 | 2.435 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Yb}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{c}$ | 2.281 | 2.368 | 2.343 |

References: (a) Ollendorf \& Weigel (1969). (b) This study. (c) Michaelides et al. (1988).
All H atoms were positioned geometrically with $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=0.85 \AA$ and they were treated as riding on their parent O atoms, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})$ $=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{O})$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: SMART; data reduction: SAINT (Bruker, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.
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Figure 3
A view of the coordination environment around the Tm atom in (I). [Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$, (ii) $-x+1,-y+1,-z$; (iii) $-x,-y,-z+1$.]
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